HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400

Fort Collins, Colorado 80527-2400

Inventor(s):

Benjamin et al.

Application No.: 10/827,163

Filing Date:

04/19/2004

PATENT APPLICATION

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

200208780-1

Confirmation No.: 2669

Examiner: Martin, Laura E.

Group Art Unit:

Title: Fluid Ejection Device

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner For Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TRANSMITTAL LETTER FOR RESPONSE/AMENDMENT

Tran	Transmitted herewith is/are the following in the above-identified application:												
	Response/Amendment Petition to extend Supplemental											pond	
	No additional fee Other								Fee\$				
	CLAIMS AS AMENDED BY OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY												
	(1) FOR	(2) CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	(3) NUMBER EXTRA		(4) EST NUMBER USLY PAID FOR	(5) PRESENT EXTRA		(6) RATE		(7) ADDITIONAL FEES			
	TOTAL CLAIMS	96	MINUS		96	=	0	х	\$50	\$	0		
	INDEP. CLAIMS	14	MINUS		14	=	0	х	\$200	\$	0		
	FIRST PRESENTATION OF A MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM + \$360									\$	0		
	EXTENSION FEE	1st Month \$120	2nd \$45	Month 0	3rdMont \$1020	:h		4th Month \$1590		\$	0		
	OTHER FEES												
	TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE FOR THIS AMENDMEN									\$	0	!	
requ Dep	Charge \$ 0 to Deposit Account 08-2025. At any time during the pendency of this application, please charge any fees required or credit any over payment to Deposit Account 08-2025 pursuant to 37 CFR 1.25. Additionally charge any fees to Deposit Account 08-2025 under 37 CFR 1.16 through 1.21 inclusive, and any other sections in Title 37 of the Code of Federal Regulations that may regulate fees. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.												
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being Respectfully submitted, deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.													
	Date of Deposit: 09/30/06 Robert C. Sismilich												
• •	Typed Name: JoAnn Sismilich Attorney/Agent for Applicant(s)												
Sigr	Signature: Signature: Alander												

Reg No.:

Telephone: (858) 547-9803

Rev 10/05 (TransAmd)

HP Docket No. 200208780-1



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No. :10/827,163 Conf. No. :2669 **Applicant** :Benjamin et al. Filed :04/19/2004 Title :Fluid Ejection Device TC / Art Unit :2853 Examiner : Martin, Laura E. Docket No. :200208780-1 Customer No. :022879

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Sir:

The Office Action dated 09/13/2006 has been carefully considered. In response thereto, please enter the following election and consider the following remarks.

ELECTION

The Office states that the claims are directed to patentably distinct species, to wit, Species I through XIV, and requires election of a single one of these species for prosecution on the merits, and a listing of all claims readable on the elected species.

In response to the election of species requirement, Applicants elect Species III, which

discloses firing cells, a fire line, and an address generator, for prosecution on the merits. Claims 23-45 are readable on Species III.

This election is made with traverse.

نائد

First, as is provided in 35 USC §121, restriction to one of two or more claimed inventions is proper only if the inventions are "independent and distinct." Furthermore, as is stated in MPEP §809.04(h), "[i]n making a requirement for restriction in an application claiming plural species, the examiner should group together species considered clearly unpatentable over each other". However, the Office has stated in this regard only that "[t]he species are independent or distinct because each species presents a unique embodiment, creating a burdensome search" (Office Action, p.3). Applicants do not believe that a burdensome search alone serves as a valid basis for a determination that the species are independent and distinct, and thus respectfully believe the election of species requirement is deficient.

Second, in the interests of equity and fairness, Applicants should be entitled to pursue multiple sets of independent claims in the present application, so as to fully protect the disclosed invention. If the election of species requirement is maintained in its present form, Applicant will need to bear the costs associated with pursuing fourteen (14) different patent applications in order to protect the present invention.

However, Applicants acknowledge that examining together all of the claims in the present application may be burdensome. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully propose an alternate definition of species which, if acceptable to the Office, would both reduce the search burden, and at the same time be more fair and equitable to Applicants. The proposed species are as follows:

- Species I: discloses signal pulses, and generating address signals to enable firing cells.
 (Proposed Species I encompasses the existing Species I, IV, and V.)
- Species II: discloses fluid ejecting means, receiving a control signal, and responding to the control signal to initiate a sequence to enable the fluid ejecting means for activation.